


# STATE OF WISCONSIN Division of Hearings and Appeals

In the Matter of



DECISION

MRA-13/46723

### PRELIMINARY RECITALS

Pursuant to a petition filed November 7, 2000, under Wis. Stat. § 49.455(8)(c) (1999-00) and Wis. Admin. Code § HFS 103.075(8)(c) (November 2000), to establish that petitioner's wife needs income above the level provided by the Medical Assistance (MA) program's Minimum Monthly Maintenance Needs Allowance (MMNA), a fair hearing was held on December 12, 2000 in Madison, Wisconsin. At petitioner's request the record of the December 12, 2000 hearing was held open until December 26, 2000.


A hearing scheduled for November 24, 2000 was rescheduled because Dane County Department of Human Services personnel were not available on that date.

The issue for determination is whether it has been established that petitioner's wife needs income above the level provided by the MMMNA.

There appeared at that time and place the following persons:

PARTIES IN INTEREST:

Petitioner:



Department of Health Care Financing (DHCF)
Division of Health
Department of Health & Family Services (DHFS)
State of Wisconsin
1 West Wilson Street
Room 250
P.O. Box 309
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-0309

By: No appearance

Dane County Department of Human Services

Division of Children, Youth & Families 2322 South Park Street Madison, Wisconsin 53713

# OTHER PERSONS PRESENT:

HEARING OFFICER:

Sean P. Maloney Administrative Law Judge Division of Hearings and Appeals

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

- 2. Petitioner claims monthly expenses for his wife of \$2,316.20 and is requesting that her MMMNA be increased to that amount. Exhibit #1.
- 3. The following monthly expenses are among those claimed by petitioner for his wife:
  - (A) Cable T.V. -- \$40.00;
  - (B) Life Insurance policy on the life of petitioner's wife -- \$9.00;
  - (C) Entertainment -- \$250.00.
  - (D) Clothes/dry cleaning -- \$150.00.

TOTAL OF THE ABOVE: \$449.00.

Exhibits #1, 9, #10A & #10B.

#### DISCUSSION

Despite repeated inquires no one appeared at the December 12, 2000 hearing to represent the Dane County Department of Human Services.

Petitioner is a nursing home resident and is receiving MA. Petitioner's wife lives in the community.

When an MA recipient is in a nursing home all of that recipient's income, with certain limited exceptions not relevant here, must be used to pay nursing home costs. MA pays any nursing home costs which exceed the recipient's income.

Many MA recipients who are nursing home residents are (like petitioner) married and have spouses who live in the community. In these cases, the law recognizes that requiring all of the recipient's income to be used to pay nursing home costs may leave the community spouse in poverty. In order to prevent the impoverishment of the community spouse, the law allows the community spouse to keep up to a certain maximum amount of income per month. This is known as the "Minimum Monthly Maintenance Needs Allowance" (MMMNA). The MMMNA provided for by law without a fair hearing is at least \$1,875.00 and is usually more. Wis. Stat. § 49.455(4)(c)(1999-00), MA Handbook, Appendix 23.6.0.; See also, Wis. Admin. Code § HFS 103.075(6)(b) (November 2000).

The MMMNA can be increased if either spouse establishes at a fair hearing that, due to exceptional circumstances resulting in financial duress, the community spouse needs income above the level provided by the MMMNA. Wis. Stat. § 49.455(8)(c)(1999-00); Wis. Admin. Code § HFS 103.075(8)(c) (November 2000); MA Handbook, Appendix 23.6.0.

The phrase "exceptional circumstances resulting in financial duress" means situations that result in the community spouse not being able to provide for his or her own necessary and basic maintenance needs. Wis. Admin. Code § HFS 103.075(8)(c) (November 2000); MA Handbook, Appendix 23.6.0.

Thus, the burden is on the person seeking an increase in the MMMNA. It must be established that the community spouse needs income above the level provided by the MMMNA in order to avoid a situation that would result in the community spouse not being able to provide for his or her own necessary and basic maintenance needs. A product or service is not a necessary and basic maintenance need simply because the community spouse purchased it on a routine basis prior to the recipient going into a nursing home.

The following monthly expenses claimed by petitioner for his wife cannot be allowed as necessary and basic maintenance needs for the reasons indicated:

- (A) Cable T.V. -- \$40.00, this is not necessary or basic and it is not a maintenance need;
- (B) Life Insurance policy on the life of petitioner's wife -- \$9.00, this is not necessary or basic; it is not a maintenance need; and, it provides no benefit to petitioner's wife;
- (C) Entertainment -- \$250.00, the evidence in the record of this matter is that money is spent on such things as going out to dinner with friends, movies, Crystal Grand Ballroom 3 or 4 times per year, concerts, and the Fireside dinner theater; none of these things are necessary or basic and none are a maintenance need;
- (D) Clothes/dry cleaning -- \$150.00, there is not sufficient evidence (documentary or otherwise) to support this expense; the only documentation offered (Exhibits #10A & #10B) were dollar amounts handwritten on notebook paper with no itemization; \$150.00 per month for clothes/dry cleaning appears excessive for petitioner's circumstances and cannot be approved without detailed supporting documentation and justification.

The above is a total of \$449.00.

Petitioner claims monthly expenses for his wife of \$2,316.20. This amount minus the above expenses that are not allowable is \$1,867.20 (\$2,316.20 minus \$449.00). The amount of \$1,867.20 is less than the lowest MMMNA provided for by law without a fair hearing (\$1,875.00). Thus, petitioner has failed to establish that his wife needs income above the level provided by the MMMNA.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

It has been not been established that petitioner's wife needs income above the level provided by the MMMNA.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, it is

#### ORDERED

That the petition for review herein be and the same is hereby dismissed.

# REQUEST FOR A NEW HEARING

This is a final fair hearing decision. If you think this decision is based on a serious mistake in the facts or the law, you may request a new hearing. You may also ask for a new hearing if you have found new evidence which would change the decision. To ask for a new hearing, send a written request to the Division of Hearings and Appeals, P.O. Box 7875, Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7875.

Send a copy of your request to the other people named in this decision as "PARTIES IN INTEREST."

Your request must explain what mistake the examiner made and why it is important or you must describe your new evidence and tell why you did not have it at your first hearing. If you do not explain these things, your request will have to be denied.

Your request for a new hearing must be received no later than twenty (20) days after the date of this decision. Late requests cannot be granted. The process for asking for a new hearing is in section 227.49 of the state statutes. A copy of the statutes can found at your local library or courthouse.

## **APPEAL TO COURT**

You may also appeal this decision to Circuit Court in the county where you live. Appeals must be filed no more than thirty (30) days after the date of this hearing decision (or 30 days after a denial of rehearing, if you ask for one).

Appeals for benefits concerning Medical Assistance (MA) must be served on the Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, P.O. Box 7850, Madison, Wisconsin 53707-7850.

The appeal must also be served on the other "PARTIES IN INTEREST" named in this decision. The process for Court appeals is in section 227.53 of the statutes.

Given under my hand at the City of

 \_\_ day

Şean P. Maloney

Administrative Law Judge

Division of Hearings and Appeals

02022001/SPM

xc.

Dane County Department of Human Services Susan Wood, DHFS